## Letter to the Editor

# Response to "Big Macs and Eigenfactor Scores: The Correlation Conundrum"

### Sir,

As we pointed out in our original article (West, Bergstrom, & Bergstrom, in press), currency denominations generate a spurious correlation in the Big Mac data. The high correlation between wage rates and Big Mac prices denominated in local currency might lead a careless reader to believe that in all countries it takes a laborer about the same amount of time to earn a Big Mac. By rescaling currencies in a few of the countries, Prathap (in press) shows that this is not the case. Of course. Any competent statistician would do something like this. For example, when *The Economist* publishes their Big Mac index,<sup>1</sup> they convert all prices into US dollars at prevailing currency exchange rates. That was the point of our analogy—to pick a case where the source of spurious correlation was so obvious that anyone could recognize the problem.

When Davis (2008) compares eigenfactor scores to citation counts, his spurious correlation is not a matter of units. Rather, as we showed in our original paper, it due to the presence of a common factor with a large coefficient of variation—namely, log total articles—on both sides of his comparison. A spurious correlation not based on different units is still a spurious correlation, as Pearson correctly noted in 1897.

In his letter, Prathap comments only on the Big Mac analogy and not on the eigenfactor analysis that was central to our paper. We should be careful to ensure that this point is not lost in the quibble about analogy: one cannot conclude from a high correlation coefficient that two measures provide the same information, and one requires a statistical hypothesis testing framework to make claims about hypotheses such

© 2010 ASIS&T

as "prestige and popularity yield the same results." As we showed, correlation coefficients can be misleading, and the hypothesis described above is easily rejected at the  $10^{-167}$  level. Citation counts and impact factors provide very different information than eigenfactor scores and article influence scores.

#### References

- Davis, P.M. (2008). Eigenfactor: Does the principle of repeated improvement result in better estimates than raw citation counts? Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 59(13), 2186–2188.
- Pearson, K. (1897). Mathematical contributions to the theory of evolution— On a form of spurious correlation which may arise when indices are used in the measurement of organs. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, 60, 489–498.
- Prathap, G. (in press). Big Macs and eigenfactor scores: The correlation conundrum. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology.
- West, J.D., Bergstrom, T., & Bergstrom, C.T. (in press). Big Macs and eigenfactor scores: Don't let correlation coefficients fool you. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 61(9).

#### Jevin D. West

Department of Biology University of Washington Seattle, WA, USA. E-mail: jevinw@u.washington.edu

#### **Theodore Bergstrom**

Department of Economics University of California Santa Barbara, CA, USA.

#### **Carl T. Bergstrom**

Department of Biology University of Washington Seattle, WA, USA and Santa Fe Institute 1399 Hyde Park Rd. Santa Fe, NM, USA.

Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/asi.21408

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Economist's Big Mac Index: http://www.economist.com/markets/ Bigmac/Index.cfm